

**EXHIBIT B**

**Request made On-line**  
**May 30, 2018**

[https://www.foia.gov/request/agency-component/8216158f-8089-431d-b866-dc334e8d4758/  
DOJ-2018-005746](https://www.foia.gov/request/agency-component/8216158f-8089-431d-b866-dc334e8d4758/DOJ-2018-005746)

**Records requested**

All records\* that were prepared, received, transmitted, collected and/or maintained by the Department of Justice (DOJ) that contain, discuss, refer to, or are related to [REDACTED] B [REDACTED]'s asylum case within, between, or made by the following individuals and/or entities:

- Immigration Judge Stuart V. Couch;
- The Executive Office for Immigration Review, including but not limited to its clerks, officials, and Director;
- The Board of Immigration Appeals, including but not limited to its clerk's office;
- Attorney General Jefferson Sessions;
- Internal, outside, or informal advisors of the Attorney General;
- Employees of the Department of Justice.

These include but are not limited to any communications relating to the Attorney General's awareness of and consideration of any aspect of [REDACTED] B [REDACTED]'s asylum case. See Matter of A-B-, 27 I&N Dec. 227 (A.G. 2018).

This request covers the time period of December 8, 2016 to the date of search, up through and including the date of any searches that follow an initial search.

\*The term "records" as used herein includes all records or communications preserved in electronic or written form, including but not limited to correspondence, regulations, directives, documents, data, videotapes, audiotapes, e-mails, faxes, files, guidance, guidelines, standards, evaluations, instructions, analyses, memoranda, agreements, notes, orders, policies, procedures, protocols, reports, rules, manuals, technical specifications, training materials or studies, including records kept in written form, or electronic format on computers and/or other electronic storage devices, electronic communications and/or videotapes, as well any reproductions thereof that differ in any way from any other reproduction, such as copies containing marginal notations.

**Fee waiver**

\$250.00

**Expedite request**

Ms. B [REDACTED] seeks expedited treatment of her request pursuant to the DOJ FOIA regulations found at 28 CFR § 16.5(d)(1)(iii), (iv) to avoid “loss of substantial due process rights” given the impending briefing deadline in her case as well as the fact that her case presents a “matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government’s integrity which affect public confidence.” Without this information, Ms. B [REDACTED] faces the “loss of substantial due process rights” in her case. Her briefing to the Attorney General in this certified case was completed on May 4, 2018. She filed an initial FOIA request with another entity within DOJ on March 26, 2018, but was not directed by the FOIA officer to re-file her request directly with OIP until May 25, 2018. Absent the requested information on the Attorney General’s decision to certify the case to himself, she faces the risk of being unable to fully raise and articulate due process concerns around the certification decision before the Attorney General makes any decision in her case. Failure to raise the issues before the Attorney General may further risk waiver of the issues for any further federal court appeal. Notably, her asylum appeal was last sustained by the agency, but she now faces the requirement of having to re-litigate her merits case, which itself implicates substantial due process concerns. In addition, her case which raises “possible questions about the government’s integrity which affect public confidence” has garnered significant media attention, and the attention of academic and non-profit advocacy communities as well as with Members of Congress. Her case has broader implications for the public interest given the Attorney General’s significantly increased use of the certification process in immigration cases in recent months.

4849-2638-7312, v. 1